

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United St	ates ratent and Frademark Office
Address: CO	OMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	D. Box 1450
Ale	exandria, Virginia 22313-1450
wv	vw.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,650	03/31/2004	Santi K. Ghosh	4062-114	4937	
23117 7.	23117 7590 08/01/2005			EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC	MCELHENY JR, DONALD E			
901 NORTH G ARLINGTON,	LEBE ROAD, 11TH FL VA 22203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2857		
			DATE MAILED: 00/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/813,650	GHOSH, SANTI K.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donald E. McElheny, Jr.	2857					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ •						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
. —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2857

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-7 are drawn to method claims but state mostly desired results or conditional clauses (e.g. wherein, whereby, thereby, etc.) that are not positive and clear operational steps. Even where apparent steps are set forth, such as "the removal of ...", the supposed step merely state some "equivalent to" condition that is not a positive operating step that clearly defines what the intended step actually is. Other steps that may appear to be more definite are also apparently misdescriptive and unclear as to their intent, such as when "thereby selectively recording", for the additional reasoning that what applicant defines "recording" as appears to be not as defined and well known in the electronic arts as the storage of an input signal. The written specification and drawings show no support for any storage operation or device structure to support such an operation. Claim limitations such as "can be ..." (e.g. claim 4) are not positive that they are even required. Claim 3 appears to state even less than its parent claim 2 which appears to already have required such limitations.

Claim 8 is drawn to an apparatus, but likewise appears to be incomplete or misdescriptive in the claims unsupported requirement of "the recordal of SH waves while filtering out ...". Neither any recording nor filtering structure appears set forth to support such preamble limitations that appear to be intended as required. Also note

Art Unit: 2857

that what applicant intends as "a regular polygon" versus any "polygon" is not clear or defined.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (b), and (e) as being anticipated by Sambuelli et al. (6,366,537 B1).

As far as can be determined from the indefinite and improper claims, they are met by the teachings of Sambuelli et al. of the various interconnection embodiments of sensors and/or their alternative processing modes to support the separation of horizontally polarized shear waves from other acoustic wave types.

- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (b), and (e) as being anticipated by Roche (6,041,018). See above paragraph 4 for similar reasoning of relevance of this reference.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kent (6,723,929 B2).

Art Unit: 2857

As far as any positive structural components are positively set forth the claim is met by Kent. Note the sensor array arrangement where transducers form a square, and in particular figure 15(b) and its discussion which teaches any polygonal shape configuration may be used, and such that overlapping transmitters and receivers may be used in the arrangements. These arrays permit the separation of the horizontally polarized shear wave component from other wave components.

Page 4

Note terms such as "connected" are met by either the physical housing support of all sensors or their signal processing interconnections to derive the various wave components.

- 7. The remaining references are cited of background interest.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald McElheny, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-2218. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoff Marc, can be reached on weekdays at telephone number 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,650

Art Unit: 2857

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald E. McElheny, Jr Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2857